PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the

INTERNATIONAL	AL ADALIBIA	
INTERNATIONAL	NHARUMINUT	ALITHURITY

To:
MITCHELL W. SHAPIRO
MILES & STOCKBRIDGE P.C.
1751 PINNACLE DRIVE, SUTTE 500
MCLEAN, VA 22102-3833

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

		INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITI			
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
		Date of mailing	ARC IIII COOL		
		(day/month/year)	UE JUL 2005		
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
F-9822-PCT	<u>_</u>	•	See paragraph 2 serow		
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US05/06063	28 February 2005 (28.02.2005)		27 February 2004 (27.02.2004)		
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classificatio	n and IPC			
IPC(7): H01F 27/28 and US Cl.: 336/178	, 212, 220-221, 229, 233				
Applicant	•				
BUSWELL, HARRIE R					
	······································				
1. This opinion contains indications rel	ating to the following items:	:			
Box No. I Basis of the	Basis of the opinion				
Box No. II Priority	Priority				
Box No. III Non-establ	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of un	Lack of unity of invention				
1————·	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain doc	Certain documents cited				
Box No. VII Certain def	efects in the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain obs	bservations on the international application				
2. FURTHER ACTION			The facilities of the state of		
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Authorized officer			2.1		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents ELVIN ENAD				
P.O. Box 1450					
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Telephone No. 70	93-308-0956		

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/06063

Box No.	1 Basis of this opinion
	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it ed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed on, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a .	type of material
į	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
C.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in international application as filed.
(filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additio	onal comments:
-	
Form PCT/	ISA/237(Box No. I) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/06063

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-16	YES			
	Claims NONE	NO			
T. CTCD					
Inventive step (IS)	Claims NONE Claims 1-16	YES NO			
	Claims 1-10	140			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-16	YES			
•	Claims NONE	NO			
2. Citations and explanations:					
Finemore et al. Berkcan et al. discloses the instant of	le 33(3) as being obvious over Berkcan et al. (US 200 laimed invention except for an electrical winding condal core. It would have been obvious to include an el the purpose of providing inductance/magnetic flux.	nponent. Finnemore el al.			
Claims 1-16 meet industrial applicability as defined by PCT Article 33(4) because the claimed subject matter can be made or used in industry.					
	4				
	-				

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged:
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new:
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- 1. [Where onginelly there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: "Claims I to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- 2. [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
 "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's amention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.